

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,217	02/09/2004	Cheng-Jen Lin	P05024	1096
40401	7590 12/06/2005		EXAMINER	
HERSHKOVITZ & ASSOCIATES			COE, SUSAN D	
1725 I STREET NW, SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1655	

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s) LIN, CHENG-JEN	
	10/773,217	LIN, CHENG-JE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Susan D. Coe	1655		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			:	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			آم.	
• ——	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	ın.	:		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		:		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		:		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Application Papers	·	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		shipstad to by the Even	ninar	
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> is/a		!	iiilei.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		·	CED 1 121/d\	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•			
,	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of Torm 1	10-102.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiç a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.			
Certified copies of the priority docume		• •		
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	n received in this Nationa	al Stage	
application from the International Bure	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	t received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (P	IO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/773,217 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-10 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for extracting soybeans to obtain isoflavones, does not reasonably provide enablement for extracting soybean residuum to obtain isoflavones. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as claimed due to the quantity of experimentation necessary; limited amount of guidance and limited number of working examples in the specification; nature of the invention; state of the prior art; relative skill level of those in the art; predictability or unpredictability in the art; and breadth of the claims. In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Applicant's claims are drawn to extracting soybean residuum to obtain isoflavones.

However, applicant's specification does not teach how the soybean residuum is obtained.

Without knowing this critical step, a person of ordinary skill in the art clearly would not be able to perform the invention as claimed because the starting material is unclear. It is well known in the art that the success of an extraction procedure lies in precision among the extraction steps

Application/Control Number: 10/773,217 Page 3

Art Unit: 1655

because the success of an extraction can be unpredictable. Thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art does not have enough information to carry out the invention as claimed because the starting material has not been properly defined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. Claims 1, 7, and 8 are indefinite because the definition of "sopped" is unclear.

Conclusion

4. Although they are considered unclear as drafted, claims 1-7 and 9 are considered free of the art. The closest prior art in US Pat. Pub. No. 2003/0108657 and US Pat. No. 6,410,699. these references teach extracting fermented soybean with ethanol to isolate isoflavones. However, the references do not specifically teach all of the steps claimed by applicant. In addition, the references do not provide motivation to carry out the steps claimed by applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (571) 272-0963. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:30 to 5:30.

Art Unit: 1655

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey, can be reached at (571) 272-0775. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Mount be 11-29-05 Susan D. Coe

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1655